



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/825,972

04/16/2004

Andrew Michael Allen

291010-00036

8222

3705

7590

04/15/2008

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT
600 GRANT STREET
44TH FLOOR
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219

EXAMINER

MANOHARAN, MUTHUSWAMY GANAPATHY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertion on page 4 with the remarks, "Fraccaroli still does not teach or suggest publishing information about one or more particulars users of respective mobile stations to the communications network".

Fraccaroli teaches, "A wireless communications network comprises a server in a central location storing matching profiles for a plurality of users in the network. The matching profile for each user is stored in the server through the user's mobile unit **or a secure page on the internet**" (Abstract).

Fraccaroli further teaches, "[0006] Similar computer dating services currently exist on the Internet. These services receive profile data and display information over the Internet and contact their customers via e-mail. They also may give their customers screen names so that matched persons can initially correspond with each other via e-mail without revealing their identities. An example of such an Internet dating service is BestDate.com.

Therefore, it is apparent that Fraccaroli teaches publishing information about one or more particulars users of respective mobile stations to the communications network.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertion on page 8 with the remarks, "transmitting to a server adapted to create and manage group of mobile stations, information to be published to the communications network about one or more particular users of respective mobile stations".

Fraccaroli teaches, "A wireless communications network comprises **a server in a** central location storing matching profiles for a **plurality of users in the network**. The matching profile for each user is stored in the server through the user's mobile unit **or a secure page on the internet**" (Abstract).

Fraccaroli further teaches (Paragraph [0029]), "Each server receives and stores matching profiles for each user USER ID corresponding to an active mobile station".

For same reasoning as presented above, it is apparent that Fraccaroli teaches, transmitting to a server (Each server receives and stores matching profiles for each user, Paragraph [0029]) adapted to create and manage group of mobile stations (**a server in a** central location storing matching profiles for a **plurality of users in the network, Abstract**), information to be published to the communications network (**a secure page on the internet** , Abstract) about one or more particular users of respective mobile stations (**plurality of users in the network, Abstract**).

Applicant's other arguments regarding non-analogous art, hindsight, prima facie obviousness had been discussed in the previous Office action.

In view of above, the final rejection of the previous Office action has been maintained.